

## ATTACHMENT 2 – 29 SEPTEMBER 2020 COUNCIL MEETING

## Heritage Review (Amendment 5 to LEP 2015)

## SUBMISSION REVIEW RESPONSES

The table below itemises and responds to the submissions received in Stage 2 public exhibition of the Heritage Review (proposed Amendment 5 to LEP 2015). The first column clarifies the submission number and the type of submission – Support, Support – refinements requested, Comment, Refinements requested, Object – refinements requested, and Object. These submission types are arranged as a table matrix in the Council report.

Sub no. and type	Sub category	Submission details	Property address and heritage listing	Response	Recommendations
1 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission questions the significance of the interiors of 1 Falls Road, and requests an inspection of the interiors by Council's heritage staff to clarify significance.</li> </ul>	1 Falls Road, Wentworth Falls (WF078 Falls Timber and <del>Former</del> hardware store)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The interiors were inspected on 13 March 2018 with the owners present.</li> <li>The details and significance of various parts of the building complex were assessed during the site visit.</li> <li>An interiors assessment was carried out following the site visit.</li> <li>The inventory sheet has been updated to reflect the information about the interiors. Some parts of the building complex contained interior elements that contribute to the understanding of the development of the site over time and should be retained if possible in future development.</li> <li>Further detail is provided at Enclosure 1.</li> </ul>	<p><b>WF078.1</b> That the interiors not be included in the listing description.</p> <p><b>WF078.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to contain additional detail from the site inspection about various elements of significance.</p>
2 - comment	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission confirms that the property at 11 Cale Lane Wentworth Falls has been maintained, including painting and repairs to the exterior.</li> </ul>	11 Cale Lane (WF082 Verna)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The care and attention to the maintenance, preservation and restoration of the cottage Verna is noted and appreciated.</li> </ul>	<p><b>WF082.1</b> That the inventory sheet be updated with the minor updates provided in the submission.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submitter confirms the house is in good condition.</li> <li>• There are original cornices and ceiling roses in certain rooms, with matching ceiling roses added to kitchen, main bedroom and second bedroom. There are original timber floorboards internally.</li> </ul>			
3 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission responds to site visit to The Grange in Mount Victoria as carried out during Stage 1 consultation by Council, and the second site visit to inspect elements in the grounds.</li> <li>• Seeks to clarify elements of significance including lower part of Fairy Dell track and pond being inaccessible, overgrown and non-existent; upper track and dam are in use; and cypress behind house was removed as damaged and replaced with Copper Beech. Requests inventory sheet be updated to reflect these and site visit notes.</li> </ul>	The Grange, 48 Selsdon Street, Mount Victoria (MV033 The Grange Estate – house, interiors and setting, Fairy Dell track and upper ornamental dam)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Grange was inspected by Council in February 2017 as part of Stage 1 consultation (interiors significance), and again in February 2018 as part of Stage 2 consultation (to clarify significance of grounds and various external elements). The second site visit reviewed existing details in the inventory sheet.</li> <li>• The inventory sheet has been updated further (beyond the Stage 1 updates) to reflect detailed information assessed in the second site visit and correspondence with the site manager.</li> <li>• The site visit made it clear that the lower dam is currently inaccessible.</li> </ul>	<b>MV033.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated with additional information in terms of the condition of the Fairy Dell Track lower section and related events since 2013.
4 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission provides additional detail and minor updates on the listing details for Burando and shed, Berambing Crest, Berambing as contained within the heritage inventory sheet.</li> <li>• Changes are based on a review of the updated</li> </ul>	21-27 Berambing Crescent, Berambing (MT004 Burando and shed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burando and shed was inspected in Stage 1, and some family history about the Hungerford family provided by the current family members. This was incorporated into an amended inventory sheet in Stage 1.</li> <li>• The Stage 2 submission is a review by the submitter of the amended inventory sheet and some minor</li> </ul>	<b>MT004.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated with the minor changes requested.

		inventory sheet following the Stage 1 site visit and initial inventory sheet updates.		changes, which have been incorporated into the inventory sheet as further amendments.	
5 – refinements requested	Interested individual (on Heritage Advisory Committee)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission requests that the name of the item Mount Vic Flicks be changed to reflect the provenance and historical use of the building as a public hall.</li> </ul>	2A Harley Avenue, Mount Victoria (MV049 Mount Victoria Public Hall and interiors)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal is supported, and it is recommended that the listing description be amended to 'Mount Victoria Public Hall', to reflect the name of the building rather than its current use.</li> <li>Note the interiors are also proposed to be added to the listing description, as per a separate submission.</li> </ul>	<b>MV049.1</b> That the current name of the item be amended to reflect the history and use of the building rather than the current tenancy, by changing the name to 'Mount Victoria Public Hall and interiors'.
6 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission requests that the St Lukes Anglican Church in Medlow Bath not be subject to the listing of the interiors as the use of the building is now a dwelling.</li> <li>A development application for a change of use from a church to a dwelling was approved by Council in 2013. This included sympathetic alterations to the interior in accordance with the policies and strategies within a Conservation Management Strategy that protect the significance of the building and site.</li> </ul>	40A Railway Parade, Medlow Bath (MB010 St Luke's Anglican Church)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The development application documentation at Council confirms the use of the former church now as a dwelling, therefore the consideration of interiors listing does not apply, as the building is no longer publicly accessible.</li> <li>The CMS provides additional information about the site and recent changes that should be summarised in the heritage inventory sheet.</li> <li>As the church is no longer in use as a church, the word 'former' should be added to the listing description.</li> </ul>	<p><b>MB010.1</b> That the item listing description be changed to 'Former St Luke's Anglican Church' as it is no longer used as a church.</p> <p><b>MB010.2</b> That the 'interiors' not be added to the listing description of the item.</p> <p><b>MB010.3</b> That the inventory sheet be updated with information from the 2013 Conservation Management Strategy by Hardline Design.</p>
7 - comment	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes that they have previously made a submission that no heritage values reside on 20-26 Woodford Ave, Woodford, including no Aboriginal,</li> </ul>	20-26 Woodford Avenue, Woodford (WD004 <del>Twenty Mile Hollow Lockup</del> <u>Site Woodford Academy</u> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Currently there are two listings over the property – WD001 Woodford Academy and Grounds, and WD004 Twenty Mile Hollow Lockup.</li> <li>The review has proposed to remove WD001 over the property (a reduction in curtilage), and modify WD004</li> </ul>	<p><b>WD004.1</b> That no further changes be made to WD004, and the modified heritage listing over the site remain.</p> <p><b>WD004.2</b> That the existing amended proposal for</p>

		<p>archaeological or cultural elements.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submitter has sent through copies of various heritage reports for the site.</li> <li>• Submission implies that heritage listing over the site should be removed.</li> </ul>	<p><u>Archaeological Site Group</u></p>	<p>(rename to 'Woodford Academy Archaeological Site Group' and make an archaeological conservation area). This change resulted from Stage 1 preliminary consultation, and was exhibited in the Stage 2 public exhibition.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Therefore the heritage listings over the site are proposed to be reduced in number, but not entirely removed.</li> <li>• The three heritage reports submitted previously to Council referred to in the submission have been reviewed.</li> <li>• Report 1: The Aboriginal Archaeological Assessment executive summary states that the site is likely to contain Aboriginal objects, and that systematic testing is required to locate and identify these objects.</li> <li>• Report 2: The European heritage assessment states that it cannot rule out the possibility of archaeological remains on the site relating to the previous cemetery.</li> <li>• Report 3: The Comber report for the Department of Education states that no objects were found but ground visibility was zero due to vegetation so 'it was not possible to determine the presence or otherwise of Aboriginal artefacts'. This report notes that part of the site was used as a cemetery (European) which was 'barely visible' ie. presumably visible to some extent.</li> <li>• Aboriginal groups requested that a sandstone platform be exposed by hand and test excavations</li> </ul>	<p>WD001 and WD004 continue, that is, to shrink the curtilage of the Woodford Academy to the main lot, (removing the heritage listing of WD001 from the subject property), and to amend the mapping of WD004 over the subject property to an archaeological conservation area.</p>
--	--	--	---	---	--

				<p>undertaken, which has not yet occurred as far as Council is aware.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All three reports recommended that further investigation be carried out.</li> <li>• At this stage, there can be no certainty that the lots do not contain cultural artefacts; it appears the site does likely contain Aboriginal and European artefacts. Further detailed investigation would be required.</li> </ul>	
8 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission asks that the eastern lot not form part of the Glenella listing but be a separate listing, and the address be changed from 56-60 to 56-58 Govetts Leap Road.</li> <li>• This is because the submission states that the original attached cottage at no. 60 has been relocated to no. 62.</li> </ul>	56-60 Govetts Leap Road, Blackheath (BH095 Glenella)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whilst it does appear that a cottage on no. 60 (on the 1943 aerials) is no longer present, Council has no evidence at this time that the cottage has been relocated to no. 62; this requires historical research. Despite this possibility of the cottage having been relocated, and the potential for the relocated cottage to be a separate listing, the lot at no. 60 is historically associated with Glenella, as the three lots were associated with the prominent Phillips family and the construction and inception of the guest house. Therefore the lot is of heritage significance. The lot also forms an important part of the curtilage for the site that facilitates its ongoing operation as a commercial premises, ie. its ability to provide services, access and parking.</li> </ul>	<p><b>BH095.1</b> That no changes are made to the listing description or inventory sheet.</p> <p><b>Advising:</b> Future research is required to investigate whether the cottage at no. 60 was moved to no. 62, and whether this cottage is of heritage significance. The cottage has current heritage protection as part of an existing heritage item group, BH157 Former Residences Group, so this is not an urgent priority.</p>
9 - Support	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission notes the Glenbrook and District Historical Society passed a motion to support the listing of 27 Hope St, Blaxland.</li> <li>• The society agrees with the current assessment that the</li> </ul>	27 Hope Street, Blaxland (draft item BX012 House)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The support from the Society for the proposed listing is noted.</li> <li>• A site visit has been carried out by Council with the agreement of the owners.</li> </ul>	<p><b>BX012.4</b> Note the support from the local historical society for the proposed listing of 27 Hope Street as a local heritage item with historical, aesthetic and rarity values.</p>

		cottage has historical, aesthetic and rarity values. • The Society hopes the Council's site visit will proceed, with a subsequent recommendation for listing.			
10 - object	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission asks that existing listing 23 Hope St be de-listed stating it has limited significance, being a timber cottage that is costly to maintain without financial support from local or state government.</li> <li>The property should instead be used for aged housing development.</li> </ul>	23 Hope Street, Blaxland (BX011 Hargrave-Calver Group of Houses)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The subject property is already listed as a heritage item, and is part of the scope of the Heritage Review because minor historical updates were proposed to the existing heritage inventory sheet.</li> <li>This submission is identical to the submission from the same submitter in Stage 1, when the issues in the submission were addressed.</li> </ul>	No recommendations.
11 – refinements requested	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission asks that the item 'Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel' have a further name change, to Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel (WWII Munitions and Mustard Gas Storage Site).</li> <li>Historical information from primary and secondary sources is provided to support the request.</li> </ul>	Multiple addresses, Glenbrook (G014 <u>Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel (and WWII Munitions and Mustard Gas Storage Site)</u> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The further name change requested by the historical society is supported.</li> </ul>	<p><b>G014.1</b> That the name of the item be amended further as per the submission.</p> <p><b>G014.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated with the additional historical information in the submission.</p>
12 – refinements requested	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes that the WW1 honour roll is not in the enclosed verandah of the former primary school building.</li> </ul>	(Honour board, not currently an item but was located at G015 Glenbrook Primary School)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The honour board is temporarily located at the Glenbrook Primary School in Woodville Road, and it should be noted as such on the inventory sheet.</li> <li>It should be returned to its original position or a more permanent location befitting its significance when possible.</li> </ul>	<p><b>G015.1</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to clarify the current location of the honour roll board.</p>

13 – refinements requested	Interested individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission asks that a minor historical detail of a person's name be corrected on the inventory sheet for nos. 246, 247, 248, 249 GWH Blackheath</li> </ul>	246, 247, 248, 249 Great Western Highway, Blackheath (BH170 Commercial Buildings)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minor update to person's name within historical information in heritage inventory sheet.</li> </ul>	<b>BH170.1</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to correct a historical name.
14 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission requests a review of the interiors of 39 Railway Parade Hazelbrook to confirm significance</li> </ul>	39 Railway Parade, Hazelbrook (H016 Commercial Group of Buildings)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A site inspection of the interiors revealed they were not of sufficient intactness to add to the listing description.</li> <li>An interiors assessment was carried out following the site visit.</li> <li>Refer to more detailed assessment at Enclosure 1.</li> </ul>	<p><b>H016.1</b> That the interiors not be added to the listing description.</p> <p><b>H016.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to clarify condition and description of interiors.</p>
15 - comment	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission asks if private properties are being reviewed, and if so, how can a private property owner have their heritage status reviewed.</li> </ul>	9 Olympian Parade, Leura (LA022 Benison - Garden)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Heritage Review includes some private properties but is not a broad review of all existing listings.</li> <li>The submitter has been contacted and provided with advice on how heritage properties can be reviewed and the need for firm justification for removing an existing item from Council's heritage register, supported by evidence.</li> <li>Clarification with submitter on 9 Olympian Parade, where heritage inventory sheet requires updating following significant development.</li> <li>A detailed history of associational significance has been provided by owner in 2015, as part of a Heritage Assessment. This information should be added to the inventory sheet.</li> </ul>	<p><b>LA022.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated to indicate a new house exists in place of the earlier Inter-War house.</p> <p><b>LA022.2</b> The extensive history and description of the property be added to the inventory sheet for clarity as to recent changes, and to provide a record of the outcomes of the detailed research done in 2015</p> <p><b>LA022.3</b> That the listing description be amended to 'Benison – Garden' to clarify that the house is not of significance.</p>
16 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission requests minor corrections and suggestions to the heritage inventory sheet.</li> </ul>	110 Railway Parade, Wentworth Falls (WF020 Rhondda Valley)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The submission is a continuation or correction of changes made to the heritage inventory sheet during Stage 1 consultation, to correct further errors. No objections to the changes.</li> </ul>	<b>WF020.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated to make the minor amendments requested.

17 – refinements requested	Interested individual (on Heritage Advisory Committee)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission asks that the item 'Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel' have a further name change, and that minor details be updated.</li> <li>Minor typo update to the Darks Common and Spurline listing requested.</li> <li>Submission notes that the WW1 honour roll is not in the enclosed verandah of the former primary school building, and requests various amendments and corrections to the inventory sheet.</li> </ul>	Multiple addresses, Glenbrook: (G014 Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel (and WWII Munitions and Mustard Gas Storage Site), G005 <u>Darks Common and Spurline</u> , G015 <u>Former Glenbrook Primary School and part interiors</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The further name change and minor amendments to the three listings as requested are supported.</li> </ul>	<b>G005.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated to make the minor amendments requested. [G015 covered in similar submission elsewhere) [G014 covered in similar submission elsewhere)
20 – refinements requested	Interested individual (on Heritage Advisory Committee)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes the vast number of archaeological remains in the Woodford Linden area, many on 26 Old Bathurst Road. This is supported by images provided in the submission.</li> <li>Submission suggests Council should purchase this site which is going up for sale. If building occurs on the site, many remnants could be lost.</li> <li>There are other remains of importance to the local area such as box drains, quarry, railway archaeology and remains of Captain Bulls Chair.</li> <li>Camping should not be allowed to continue in the Bulls Camp area, a historic site, due to damage and overuse.</li> </ul>	26 Old Bathurst Road, Woodford (part of LD019 Remnants of Cox's Road), Rockcorry 1, 30 Old Bathurst Road, Woodford (LD013 Rockcorry Cottages), 1-6 Great Western Highway, Woodford (LD010 Bulls Camp)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The question of whether Council can purchase the land is outside the scope of this Review, however the suggestion will be passed onto the relevant section of Council, noting the concern for the protection of heritage values of the site.</li> <li>The inclusion of historic photographs of Rockcorry1 is noted and appreciated. The inventory sheet will have the additional photographs added.</li> </ul>	<p><b>LD019.1</b> That further investigation into the heritage significance of items on 26 Bathurst Road be undertaken at a future date.</p> <p><b>LD013.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet for Rockcorry Cottages be updated with the photographs provided.</p> <p><b>Advising:</b> That the matter of camping at Bulls Camp be referred to the relevant department at Council for response.</p>



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission includes images of Rockcorry 1 to add to the inventory sheet.</li> </ul>			
18 - object	State agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission from Water NSW does not object to amendments to existing listing for Medlow Dam but requests mapping change to match state listing mapping.</li> <li>Submission objects to proposed listing of Greaves Creek Dam for operational reasons.</li> <li>Submission objects to proposed listing of Cascade Creek Dams for operational reasons.</li> </ul>	<p>73 and 73A Beauchamp Road, Medlow Bath (MB005 Medlow Dam)</p> <p>4 Walls Cave Road, Blackheath (BH208 Greaves Creek Dam), 100-130 Mort Street, Katoomba (K162 Cascade Creek Dams)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note WaterNSW did not object to the two new listings in Stage 1, rather suggested acknowledgement of significance for new listings, but need to clarify curtilage.</li> <li>WaterNSW objected in Stage 2 (this submission).</li> <li>Discussions have now occurred with Sydney Water and WaterNSW, (who have also been liaising with each other).</li> <li>Following this consultation, additional information is proposed by Council to be added to the inventory sheets to clarify ongoing management concerns and the need for heritage assessments and strategies to take place long term. Note some text was added following Stage 1, expressing the operational concerns of WaterNSW.</li> <li>All parties have agreed in principle that the two new dams have heritage significance as part of the Blue Mountains Water Supply Group, and should be listed, but the state agencies have concerns over establishing the correct curtilage. They have provided lots and DPs as a suggested curtilage.</li> <li>The proposed listings are referenced as a dot listing, and the final curtilage will be agreed in consultation between Council and WaterNSW</li> </ul>	<p><b>MB005.1</b> That the mapping for Medlow Dam be aligned with the state listing.</p> <p><b>BH208.1</b> That the proposed heritage listing of Greaves Creek Dam proceed. Further, that the lots/DPs be presented as per written advice from WaterNSW, and that the mapping curtilage be confirmed with WaterNSW prior to submission of the Planning Proposal to the Department.</p> <p><b>K162.1</b> That the proposed heritage listing of Cascade Creek Dam proceed. Further, that the lots/DPs be presented as per written advice from WaterNSW, and that the mapping curtilage be confirmed with WaterNSW prior to submission of the Planning Proposal to the Department.</p>

				<p>prior to the submission of the final Planning Proposal to the Department.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Heritage listings would not prevent operational upgrades or changes, and generally would not impact future works which would be done under the Infrastructure SEPP as exempt development or development without consent. There would be some requirements to notify Council, and potential increases in heritage reports required to be carried out for identified items of significance.</li> <li>It is recommended the two new listings proceed with the lots/DPs proposed by WaterNSW.</li> </ul>	
19 - object	State agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Letter sent to Council from Sydney Trains regarding Woodford Footbridge, outside of the formal Heritage Review process.</li> <li>Submission notes ongoing intention by RailCorp to decommission the Woodford Railway Footbridge at Woodford for operational reasons.</li> </ul>	Woodford Railway Footbridge, 70, 70A and 75A Great Western Highway, Woodford (WD010 Woodford Memorial Park Group)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There has been ongoing but sporadic discussion between Council and senior engineers at Sydney Trains and their consultation facilitators in the last year.</li> <li>Transport for NSW / Sydney Trains appears to be continuing its proposal to demolish the bridge, and have recently advertised in the Gazette about community consultation on this proposal.</li> <li>There is a significant level of community interest in retaining the footbridge, both for historical significance and original fabric, and for its historic location and pedestrian access from Memorial Park to the Wilson Glen area.</li> <li>The recommendation, in light of its significance values and community investment, is to continue to propose</li> </ul>	<p><b>WD010.1</b> That the Council and community continue to advocate for the retention of a footbridge in this location, with repair of the existing structure.</p> <p><b>WD010.2</b> That the proposed inclusion of the Woodford Footbridge in the listing for Woodford Memorial Park continue.</p>

21 - object	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission objects to proposed heritage listing of house, on the grounds the historical evidence is not substantiated, the condition of the house is below average, and the listing would interfere with the future development of the property as foreshadowed in the zoning of the land for medium-density housing.</li> </ul>	27 Hope Street Blaxland (draft item BX012 House)	<p>that the footbridge be added to the Woodford Memorial Park listing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A similar objection was received in Stage 1 and a detailed response provided.</li> <li>A site inspection has since been carried out with the consent of the owners (post Stage 2 exhibition), on 4 May 2018.</li> <li>The site inspection has confirmed that in the opinion of Council's heritage experts, the condition of the building is very good, particularly for its age, and not below average. It has fortunately been well-maintained and occupied.</li> <li>The building also retains its integrity and intactness, and reads as a Federation era timber cottage both externally and internally.</li> <li>The building age and construction period suggest the building is very rare for Blaxland.</li> <li>The house is a rare surviving example of an attractive and intact weatherboard house in Blaxland. A significant number of historic buildings in Blaxland burnt down in the fires of 1968; this house is a rare remnant of early Blaxland.</li> <li>Aesthetic and rarity values are considered sufficient to warrant listing, despite suggested gaps or ambiguities in the historical information. The historical information is still sound and sufficient to establish significance.</li> </ul>	<b>BX012.2</b> That the proposed heritage listing of 27 Hope Street, Blaxland continue as proposed.
-------------	------------------------	---	--	---	---

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In regard to the zoning, the site is within an area of R3-zoned land where medium density housing is permissible. While permissible, each land parcel may not be able to achieve each land use allowed within a zone. Any redevelopment of the site would need to be appropriate for the cultural heritage on the site.</li> <li>The assessment found the interiors in general are intact and authentic, consistent with the quality and condition of the exterior, although these are not included in the listing.</li> <li>Relocating the house to another site would reduce or negate significance as the house is associated with the site.</li> <li>There are options for adding additional housing to the site that could be explored.</li> <li>Refer to more detailed assessment in Enclosure 1.</li> </ul>	
22 – refinements requested	Interested individual (on Heritage Advisory Committee)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission provides additional information including photographs on the history of Mountain Heritage (formerly The California)</li> </ul>	K051 The California (Mountain Heritage), 2-10 Apex Street, Katoomba (K051, The California)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The minor amendments to the proposed listing as requested are supported.</li> </ul>	<b>K051.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated to make the minor amendments requested, and the photographs added.
23 – refinements requested	Interested individual (on Heritage Advisory Committee)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission provides additional information on the history of Bowens Creek Road, Mount Irvine.</li> </ul>	Bowen Creek road reserve and National Park (M1006 Bowens Creek Road, Mount Irvine to Bowen Creek)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The minor amendments to the proposed listing as requested are supported.</li> </ul>	<b>M1006.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet be updated to make the minor amendments requested.

24 – support – refinements requested	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission from the National Trust Blue Mountains Branch. The submission expresses general satisfaction with the proposal.</li> <li>Supports the continuing proposal for the new, modified and deleted items where 'no change' is reassuring.</li> <li>The proposal for Glenbrook Public School interiors is not correct as the school is now in Woodville Road.</li> <li>The Branch is disappointed it was not specifically advised of the public exhibition.</li> <li>The photographs that appear in the 'Great Western Road' report by Sue Rosen should acknowledge the source, as these photographs were taken by National Trust members.</li> <li>The Branch would like a hard copy of the report.</li> </ul>	Former Glenbrook Public School, 10 Park Street, Glenbrook (G015 Glenbrook Primary School)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The submission clarifies the use of the former Glenbrook Public School, noting that the school is now located in Woodville Road. The name of the item should be changed to 'Former Glenbrook Public School'.</li> <li>Increased consultation is now occurring regularly with the Blue Mountains Branch of the National Trust.</li> <li>A meeting was held at Council offices and the issue of the Great Western Road report photographic sources resolved. A new version of the Western Road report has been created with the sources for the relevant photographs acknowledged.</li> </ul>	<p><b>G015.2</b> That the listing description be amended to 'Former Glenbrook Primary School' as the building is no longer in use as the main primary school.</p> <p><b>General – 1.</b> That the updated version of the 'Great Western Road' report by Sue Rosen be available on Council's website, along with the existing available heritage studies on Council's website in a dedicated studies and reports page.</p>
25 – object – refinements requested	Interested individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The submission notes that the proposed heritage listing for the new item, Hydro Majestic Walking Track Complex in Medlow Bath as proposed does not include the full group of tracks, and that certain other parts on lots outside the Hydro grounds are important to include.</li> <li>Track parts on Council land and other private land should</li> </ul>	52-88 Great Western Highway, (MB026 Hydro Majestic Original Walking Track Complex (part listing only, within Hydro grounds))	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The changes to the heritage inventory sheet did not alter content, description, significance and so on, rather was just a technical note that the listing did not include certain lots.</li> <li>The concern raised that only part of the listing was able to be progressed at this time is noted, and it is agreed that it would be preferable that the listing proceed in full. However, due to concerns about legality of access of walking tracks over private land it is</li> </ul>	<p><b>MB026.1</b> That the listing description be amended to 'Original Walking Track Complex (part within Hydro grounds)'.</p> <p><b>MB026.2</b> That an expanded listing covering the other private and Council lots be considered in the next round of heritage review.</p>

		<p>be included as they are of state significance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission states that it is unethical to alter a consultant's work and objects to the inventory sheet being modified as submitter prepared the original form - their work should not be modified without consultation.</li> <li>• The form should but does not provide information to other owners (adjacent the Hydro) that their properties contain state significant walking tracks.</li> <li>• Additional information included to assist review.</li> <li>• If some parts are excluded, then the name should be changed to indicate this.</li> </ul>		<p>not possible to progress the full listing at this time. The Hydro Majestic is a commercial property already covered by an existing listing, with many visitors spending time walking the tracks in the private grounds. As such, issues of trespass and public liability are covered in this regard. This is not the case with the lots in private ownership, where significant additional issues arise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is common for inventory sheets to be altered over time, and there are not considered to be any ethic issues with making changes considered necessary, provided transparency and attribution is provided.</li> <li>• Adjacent properties could be amalgamated into the listing in the next stage of the heritage review. However at this stage, there is concern about legal access issues over private properties.</li> <li>• Noted that the Hydro Majestic track complex is not part of the State-listed Blue Mountains Walking Tracks listing so state significance is only 'assessed as' at this stage, in other words, 'potential'.</li> <li>• The listing description needs to be amended to say 'part listing only, within Hydro grounds'.</li> <li>• The inventory sheet should be updated with a technical note to clarify scope of listing, reasons for not full listing, and recommendation to complete listing of tracks in future.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

26 - Support	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission by BMACHO notes the effort made by Council to research and list heritage items in the LEP.</li> </ul>	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The comments are noted and appreciated.</li> </ul>	No recommendation.
27 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission seeks consistency in description of building regarding its dual functions of shop and residence and is concerned that the building's residential use is not extinguished by the Review process.</li> <li>Further recent historical information is included.</li> <li>Submitters would like to be included in any inventory sheet updates.</li> </ul>	28 Station Street, Mount Victoria (MV082 <u>The Gallery Victorian brick shop and former residence including part interiors</u> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The listing description should be consistent and this should be rectified.</li> <li>There is no heritage concern with describing the building as a shop and residence, reflective of the building's layout and purpose, which is a building type historically important and rare in the Blue Mountains.</li> <li>The inventory sheet should be updated with the recent information.</li> <li>The submitters should be notified about any future changes to the inventory sheet.</li> </ul>	<p><b>MV082.1</b> That the listing description be updated to clarify the use of part of the building as a residence.</p> <p><b>MV082.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated with the additional information.</p>
28 - object	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission objects to the listing of the interiors on operational grounds, as changes may need to be made.</li> </ul>	13 Honour Avenue, Lawson (LN013 Emmanuel Anglican Church)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An objection was received by the church during Stage 1 consultation. A site visit was carried out following the submission, and further clarity was provided about what interiors are to be listed (the church only), as well as updates made to the inventory sheet to correct errors.</li> <li>Only the stone church building is proposed to have interiors listed.</li> <li>To clarify in further detail the limited scope of the proposed interiors listing, it is suggested that a reference be included to 'the interiors of the Rosenthal church', to clarify that it is only this part of the church group that is included in the interiors listing.</li> <li>An interiors listing does not include movable items, although it is</li> </ul>	<p><b>LN013.1</b> That the interiors continue to be included in the listing description; however, that the listing description be amended to 'Emmanuel Anglican Church and interiors of Rosenthal church' to clarify that this section of the building is the only internal area of the church that has significant interiors.</p>

29 – Support – refinements requested	Individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notes the proposed listing of 27 Hope Street.</li> <li>Submission asks that the Hargrave houses be listed and notes that they are still in good condition, Tanfield at no. 23, Nardi at no. 9, Harewood at no.11, and The Den at 18 View Street.</li> <li>Also that Exeter at 34 Wilson Way be listed and was the first Post Office and Store.</li> </ul>	27 Hope Street, Blaxland 9, 11, 23 Hope Street and 18 View Street, Blaxland 34 Wilson Way, Blaxland	<p>preferable that these stay with the building.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9 and 11 Hope Street are not currently listed as heritage items. Both houses appear on the 1943 aerials; however further research would be required to understand heritage significance.</li> <li>No. 23 Hope Street and 18 View Street are listed as local heritage items (BX011 Hargrave-Calver Group of Houses and Gardens).</li> <li>Exeter at 43 Wilson Way is listed as a heritage item (BX010 Exeter).</li> </ul>	<b>BX011.1</b> That the properties at 9 and 11 Hope Street be investigated in the future as resources allow, for their heritage significance and relationship to the existing listing of BX011.
30 – Support – refinements requested		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State agency (NSW Heritage Division) responding to request by Council for submission as required by State government.</li> <li>Submission notes that 30 items are on the State Heritage Register, and that the purpose is to align descriptions and mapping. Submission notes the names of two items have not been aligned. These are Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel at Glenbrook, and the Gatekeepers Cottage at Valley Heights. A response has been provided by Council.</li> <li>Submission notes the mapping of item Cox's Road and Early Deviations in Linden (LD008) includes vacant undeveloped land to</li> </ul>	Multiple addresses, Glenbrook (G014 Lapstone Hill Railway Tunnel and WWII Mustard Gas Storage Site) 110-112 Green Parade, Valley Heights (VH003 Gatekeepers Cottage), 4, 5 and 6 Caley Lane, 4 Linden Place and 1–2 Railway Parade, Linden, (LD008 Cox's Road and Early Deviations – Linden Precinct)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The submission from the NSW Heritage Division recommends that the mapping for the local listing be retained for the Linden portion of Cox's Road (LD008), where expanded beyond the state listing to the west and south. This would result in retaining the majority of the mapped curtilage as per the existing local boundary, apart from removing the mapping over the private lots 4, 5, 6 and 7 Caley Lane.</li> <li>The support for the Heritage Review is noted.</li> </ul>	<b>LD008.1</b> That the local mapping curtilage for 'Cox's Road and Early Deviations – Linden Precinct' remain aligned with the local listing and not align with the state curtilage as previously proposed; however the local heritage mapping over private lots 4, 5, 6 and 7 Caley Lane be removed.



		<p>the west and south which is larger than the SHR curtilage, and that these lots should be included in the curtilage as they preserve an open bushland setting for the road evocative of the early traveller's experience.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission has no objections to other modifications, provided there is a robust heritage assessment, and the names, descriptions and mapping are accurate.</li> </ul>			
31 - object	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission raises concerns over proposed interiors listing in operational terms, that future heritage constraints would restrict potential to make changes such as seating or lighting.</li> <li>Submission indicates desire for ongoing conversation and inclusion in discussions.</li> </ul>	345-347 Great Western Highway, Springwood (SP008 Christ Church Anglican Church)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A site visit was carried out in February 2020 to assess the integrity and significance of the interiors.</li> <li>An interiors assessment was carried out following the site visit, attached to this submission review document.</li> <li>The assessment found the interiors in general are intact and authentic, and recommend including in the listing description.</li> <li>Refer to more detailed assessment in Enclosure 1.</li> </ul>	<p><b>SP008.1</b> That the interiors continue to be included in the listing description for the church.</p> <p><b>SP008.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to include additional description about the interiors.</p>
32 - comment	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission requests a name change to property.</li> </ul>	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not a heritage item – submission withdrawn as not relevant to the Review and resolved through a different process.</li> </ul>	No recommendations.
33 - comment	State agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State agency (NSW Rural Fire Service) responding to request by Council for submission as required by State government.</li> <li>No specific recommendations in regards to bush fire protection.</li> </ul>	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No discussion required.</li> </ul>	No recommendations.

34 - object	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission seeks clarification of the interiors and objects to the listing of the interiors in the listing description</li> <li>Submission requests a site visit and provides detail of various internal elements.</li> </ul>	124 Wentworth Street, Blackheath (BH199 Roma)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A site visit was carried out to inspect the interiors with the owner.</li> <li>An interiors assessment was carried out following the site visit, attached to this submission review document.</li> <li>The assessment found the interiors in general are intact and authentic, and recommend including in the listing description.</li> <li>Refer to more detailed assessment in Enclosure 1.</li> </ul>	<p><b>BH199.1</b> That the interiors continue to be included in the listing description.</p> <p><b>BH199.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to include additional description and assessment about the interiors' significance.</p>
35 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission requests that certain lots be removed from the heritage listing now they have been subdivided.</li> </ul>	46-50 Portland Avenue and 3 and 5 Richmond Avenue, Medlow Bath (MB004 The Chalet)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Both lots requested to be removed from the heritage listing are proposed to be or have been developed for residential dwellings. 5 Richmond Avenue now has a dwelling on it. 3 Richmond Avenue is still in assessment but likely to be approved for a new dwelling and a secondary dwelling.</li> <li>Regarding heritage input, Council's heritage advisor was involved in both applications.</li> <li>To support the removal of the listing, Council must be satisfied no heritage significant elements or relationships remain.</li> <li>The lots are visually and physically distant from the setting of The Chalet.</li> <li>The arborists' report and site notes from Council's Tree Officer have been reviewed.</li> <li>It is noted that the lot to the south of The Chalet has historically been subdivided and a new dwelling built on that lot, which was once the south garden and curtilage of The Chalet.</li> </ul>	<p><b>MB004.1</b> That the two lots at 3 Richmond Avenue and 5 Richmond Avenue have the heritage listing related to The Chalet removed from their lots, as there are no remaining elements of significance on site as far as can be ascertained, following the development of the subdivided lots into residential dwelling sites.</p> <p><b>MB004.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to reflect the amended lot and address details.</p>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In summary, the removal of the listing for The Chalet over the lots 3 &amp; 5 Richmond Avenue is supported.</li> </ul>	
36 – refinements requested	Interested individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission clarifies that the horse trough is on Shipley Road not Old Shipley Road and this should be clarified in the listing.</li> <li>Roadwork teams working in the area should be aware of the trough location to avoid damage.</li> </ul>	52 Shipley Road Blackheath (BH210 Horse Trough)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The heritage inventory sheet requires clarification for consistency of address.</li> </ul>	<b>BH210.1</b> That the heritage inventory sheet address be aligned with listing description address.
37 – refinements requested	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission provides more research in relation to the Woodford Memorial Park Footbridge, and in support of its retention.</li> </ul>	Woodford Railway Footbridge, 70, 70A and 75A Great Western Highway, Woodford (WD010 Woodford Memorial Park Group)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The additional research is noted, and could be added to the information in the draft heritage inventory sheet.</li> </ul>	<b>WD010.3</b> That the heritage inventory sheet for the Woodford Memorial Park Group be updated to include the additional information about the other two bridges of the same construction.
38 - Support	Individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes that 170-174 Leura Mall is worthy of preservation as early retail business.</li> <li>The changes to Leura Railway Station and Wentworth Falls Railway Station are unsympathetic.</li> <li>The work on the Heritage Review is extremely important.</li> </ul>	201 Leura Mall, Leura (not a heritage item but within Central Leura Heritage Conservation Area) 130 Megalong Street, Leura (not a heritage item or within a conservation area) 146-148 Leura Mall (LA071 Leura Post Office) Leura Railway Station (LA016 Leura Railway Station)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comments are noted but mostly of a general nature only.</li> <li>The upgrade to the railway stations is outside of the scope of this review. RailCorp is able to upgrade railway stations under state legislation and without Council's approval. However, Council has been in consultation with RailCorp to seek involvement in the upgrades.</li> <li>The support for the listing of 170-174 Leura Mall, and the Review process is noted and appreciated.</li> </ul>	No recommendations.

39 – support – refinements requested	Historical society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes that the expanded listing for the Woodford Memorial Park Group includes lots 70 and 70A Great Western Highway.</li> <li>Submission requests a recommendation be included that RMS and RailCorp S.170 registers include these lots in any listing, noting their heritage significance.</li> <li>Submission notes two cottages were on these sites and possibly the site of the third railway station.</li> </ul>	Woodford Railway Footbridge, 70, 70A and 75A Great Western Highway, Woodford (WD010 Woodford Memorial Park Group)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The importance of consistent listings across agencies is noted.</li> <li>A recommendation for the inclusion of the two lots at 70 and 70A Great Western Highway on any S.170 listing is noted; however it is inherent in any listing resolved by Council that the preference is for the inclusion of the lots. This listing would be sent to the RMS and RailCorp with the two lots included. The specifics of significance could be noted in any covering letter.</li> </ul>	<p><b>WD010.4</b> Continue to liaise with Sydney Trains and Transport for NSW regarding the community interest in retaining the railway footbridge.</p> <p><b>WD010.5</b> Recommend to Sydney Trains and Transport for NSW that the lots at 70 and 70A Great Western Highway and footbridge be added to the S170 register by Sydney Trains and Transport for NSW.</p>
40 – refinements requested	Blue Mountains City Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission from Council in its capacity as property owner. Sixty of Council's heritage assets affected by changes in the Review.</li> <li>16 assets are publicly accessible buildings that are proposed to have the interiors added to the listing. Submission notes the need for this matter to be fully considered for its implications on Council's asset management resources and processes.</li> <li>Relevant information gathered as part of Council's Asset Management Framework for Council Assets with Heritage Value will be provided to assist with the Review.</li> </ul>	Multiple properties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Review acknowledges the potential for increased regulatory oversight of heritage item interiors. Generally, this can be managed as minor works approvals, or in the case of a consent authority, through a robust Part 5 application and approval process. Council has made a commitment to heritage management and increased its resourcing of heritage staff, providing the opportunity for additional levels of service and advice to internal stakeholders and to external customers. In recent years, there have been significant improvements in both the heritage asset management framework through the engagement of a Cultural Heritage Coordinator for internal management of heritage items in 2016, and in 2019, the engagement of a dedicated Heritage Officer to assess</li> </ul>	<p><b>Refer also to individual listing recommendations at Attachment 1 and Enclosure 1.</b></p> <p>That the listing of the interiors continue as proposed for the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MW010 Mount Wilson Village Hall</li> <li>MV049 Mount Wilson Public Hall (Mount Vic Flicks)</li> <li>BH171 Blackheath Community Centre</li> <li>K059 Katoomba Falls Kiosk</li> <li>K055 Former Leura Baths Kiosk (Solitary Restaurant), Katoomba</li> <li>LA103 Early timber shop and former</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council has been working on a heritage asset improvement framework, which is in progress.</li> <li>• The asset managers' main concern is potentially significant increases in management costs and additional regulation, which are not resourced.</li> <li>• The listing of interiors may constrain adaptive re-use and prejudice revenue generation.</li> <li>• Heritage listing may increase compliance costs for fire safety, accessibility, Australian Standards, and bushfire</li> <li>• Submission requests delaying changes to listings, until Council's heritage asset management framework is more mature.</li> <li>• In the absence of this, further investigation take place to clarify significance of interiors elements, to inform asset management and aid regulatory processes.</li> <li>• 4 recommendations made: 1. Acknowledge the additional resourcing and regulatory approvals required to manage items changing under the Heritage Review. 2. Further investigate the interiors and include detail on the inventory sheets. 3. Carry out additional</li> </ul>	<p>development applications and minor works.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inspections of all relevant Council-owned heritage buildings have been carried out to assess the integrity and value of the interiors (16 properties).</li> <li>• Those properties are:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mount Wilson Village Hall</li> <li>2. Mount Victoria Public Hall</li> <li>3. Blackheath Community Centre</li> <li>4. Katoomba Falls Kiosk</li> <li>5. Former Leura Baths Kiosk (Solitary Restaurant)</li> <li>6. 170-174 Leura Mall, Leura</li> <li>7. Wentworth Falls School of Arts</li> <li>8. Heatherbrae, Lawson</li> <li>9. Lawson Mechanics Institute</li> <li>10. Former Council Shire Offices, Lawson</li> <li>11. Former Electrical Substation, Lawson</li> <li>12. Athol, Lawson</li> <li>13. Former Faulconbridge Uniting Church</li> <li>14. Faulconbridge Community Hall</li> <li>15. Police lockup, Manners Park, Springwood</li> <li>16. Braemar, Springwood</li> </ol> </li> <li>• Interiors assessments were carried out following the site visits, and are provided at Enclosure 1.</li> <li>• The interiors have been assessed, and where relevant, the interiors are recommended to be added to the listing description.</li> <li>• The inventory sheets are also updated with the new information about the interiors.</li> </ul>	<p>residence, 170-174 Leura Mall, Leura</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WF001Wentworth Falls School of Arts</li> <li>• LN052 Heatherbrae, Lawson</li> <li>• LN043 Lawson Mechanics Institute</li> <li>• LN027 Former Council Shire Offices, Lawson</li> <li>• LN056 Athol, Lawson</li> <li>• FB022 Former Faulconbridge Uniting Church</li> <li>• FB024 Faulconbridge Community Hall</li> <li>• SP026 Police lockup, Manners Park, Springwood</li> <li>• SP022 Braemar, Springwood</li> </ul> <p>That the listing of the interiors not continue as proposed for the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LN031 Former Electrical Substation, Lawson</li> </ul>
--	---	---	---

		consultation with Traditional Owners under the ILUA agreement, further to that carried out in 2017. 4. Review the information gathered as part of the heritage asset management framework.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A second stage of consultation was carried out with the Gundungurra Consultative Committee, with the assistance of Council's Aboriginal Liaison Officer.</li> <li>Information from Council's Heritage Management database has been used where available, as well as any new Conservation Management Plans, to add detail.</li> </ul>	
41 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission acknowledges the significance of the Oriental Hotel, Springwood, but notes there have been many changes that may not be significant, including the interiors, where it may be more appropriate to add "...and partial interiors" to the listing description.</li> <li>Requests a site inspection to determine the significance of the interiors.</li> </ul>	110-120 Macquarie Road, Springwood (SP029 Oriental Hotel)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A site visit was carried out to inspect the interiors with the owner.</li> <li>An interiors assessment was carried out following the site visit. This is provided at Enclosure 1.</li> <li>The assessment found that apart from significant early remnants, the interiors are not intact, and therefore not recommended to be added to the listing description.</li> </ul>	<p><b>SP029.1</b> That the interiors of the Oriental Hotel not be included in the listing description.</p> <p><b>SP029.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated with additional detail following the site visit and review of the 1995 Heritage Assessment/Strategy by Graeme Edds and Associates.</p>
42 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes that the building has been converted into a residence, and as such, the interiors should not be listed as they are not publicly accessible.</li> <li>Occupation certificate attached from Council confirming change of use from church to a dwelling.</li> </ul>	68B Great Western Highway, Woodford (WD006 Former Woodford Uniting Church <u>and church hall</u> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Occupation certificate confirms current use as a residence, DA for use as a residence approved in 2011.</li> <li>The church interiors, where original fabric remains, are of significance. This is noted in the inventory sheet to guide future development. Notwithstanding, it is Council's current policy to only include publicly accessible interiors in the item's listing description.</li> <li>Note the heritage item includes the church building, and also the adjacent timber hall (at 68A Great Western</li> </ul>	<p><b>WD006.1</b> That the interiors for the church building at 68B Great Western Highway, Woodford not be included in the listing description. The hall interiors need not be included as the building does not appear to be publicly accessible.</p> <p><b>WD006.2</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to reflect the use of the building as a dwelling and various changes made.</p>

				Highway). The hall interiors have not been inspected.	<b>WD006.3</b> That the listing for the item be amended to 'Former Woodford Uniting Church and church hall'.
43 – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission states that the interiors of Dalmeny are not open to the public as are used as a novitiate centre for training sisters.</li> <li>The only public areas are the hall and outdoor chapel which are not heritage.</li> </ul>	252–254 and 255–256 Great Western Highway (LN011 Roman Catholic Church Group, church interiors and Dalmeny <u>Santa Maria Convent and Durham Lodge and interiors</u> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission states that Dalmeny is no longer the Santa Maria Conference Centre as is stated on the inventory sheet, therefore the interiors are not publicly accessible.</li> </ul>	<b>LN011.1</b> That the interiors of Dalmeny not be included in the listing description as they are not publicly accessible. <b>LN011.2</b> That the inventory sheet details be updated.
44 – object – refinements requested	Private property owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submission notes site visit carried out by Council staff.</li> <li>Submission seeks removal of proposed interiors listing for the Sacred Heart Church.</li> <li>Submission notes that only church building appears to be covered by listing; however Council has advised that entire site is covered by listing, including all other buildings. Submission notes grounds or gardens are not noted in listing description.</li> <li>Submission requests clarification of the significance of the property, particularly in relation to the grounds, other buildings, and interiors.</li> <li>Submission notes the potential significant expense of the requirement for additional heritage reports,</li> </ul>	165, 167-171 Wentworth Street, Blackheath (BH041 Sacred Heart Roman Catholic Church)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A site visit was carried out to inspect the interiors and the grounds generally with the owners of the site.</li> <li>'Church' can indicate the building, but can also refer more broadly to the property, hence the entire site has some significance, including fencing, landscape, buildings and spatial layout.</li> <li>An interiors assessment was carried out for the church and the hall, following the site visit. This is provided at Enclosure 1.</li> <li>The assessment found the interiors of the church are not intact and authentic, and does not recommend including the church interiors in the listing description.</li> <li>The assessment found that the interiors of the hall/former school are intact and authentic, however very modest/austere, apart from a valuable set of very large timber and glazed</li> </ul>	<b>BH041.1</b> That the listing description not have the interiors of the church included. <b>BH041.2</b> That the listing description be amended to 'Sacred Heart Roman Catholic Church including church, presbytery, and hall with glazed internal doors'. <b>BH041.3</b> That the inventory sheet be updated to reflect the amended lot and address details.

		<p>and the ambiguity about the various approvals processes of Clause 5.10 in the LEP relating to structural/non-structural interior changes, and approvals as minor works.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The church interiors are plain and contain no architectural features, and should not be part of the listing.</li> <li>• If the former hall is proposed to be included with the interiors proposal, it also does not have interior heritage significance.</li> <li>• The presbytery is not publicly accessible, therefore should not have its interiors included. It is also not heritage significant.</li> <li>• Assume the garage and toilet blocks are not to be included in the Review for consideration for interiors listing.</li> </ul>		<p>partition doors. It is recommended that this doorset be itemised in the listing description to provide protection for the retention and conservation of this element.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to more detailed assessment in separate enclosure to Council report.</li> </ul>	
--	--	---	--	---	--